

Comorbidity patterns and the quality of diabetes care in Ontario

Yelena Petrosyan MD, MPH, PhD student¹, Walter Wodchis PhD ^{1,3}, Susan Bronskill PhD ^{1,3}, Anna Koné PhD ^{1,2}, Andrea Gruneir PhD ^{1,3,4}, Kednapa Thavorn PhD ^{1,5}, Colleen Maxwell PhD ^{3,6}, YuQing Bai MSc¹

¹ Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto; ² Cancer Care Ontario; ³ Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; ⁴ Women's College Research Institute; ⁵ Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital; ⁶ School of Pharmacy, University of Waterloo



CAHSPR May 15, 2014



Agenda

- Background
- Rationale
- Study methods
- Results
- Strengths/Limitations
- Summary





- Diabetes mellitus (DM) accounts for an increasing proportion of the global burden of disease, being one of the leading causes of death and disability in Canada.¹
- Over 1 million Ontarians are living with diabetes (ICES, 2012).
- About **75%** at least one comorbid condition (CC);
- About **40%** three and more.²
 - 1. Pelletier C, Dai S, Roberts KC, Bienek A, Onysko J, Pelletier L. Report summary. Diabetes in Canada: facts and figures from a public health perspective. Chronic Dis Inj Can 2012;33(1):53-4..



2. Maddigan SL, Feeny DH, Johnson JA. Health-related quality of life deficits associated with diabetes and comorbidities in a Canadian Naidonal Population Health Survey. Quality of Life Research 2005;14:1314-20.



- Appropriate monitoring and treatment can significantly reduce the incidence of diabetes complications.³
- Challenges to address multiple treatment needs in DM patients with comorbid conditions.³
- Patients with multiple chronic conditions are less likely to receive continuity of care compared to those with single conditions.⁴



3. Woodard LD, Urech T, Landrum CP, et al. The impact of comorbidity type on measures of quality for diabetes care. Med Care, 2011, 49 (6): 605-61. 4. Salisbury C, Johnson L, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Montgomery AA. Epidemiology and impact of multimorbidity in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. Br J Gen Pract 2011;61(582):e12-21.

Rationale (cont.)

 Numerous studies, both globally and in Canada, that examined the impact of CC on the quality of DM care present mixed results.⁵

• No population-based studies in Canada.



5. Bae SJ, Rosenthal MB. Patients with multiple chronic conditions do not receive lower quality of preventive care. J Gen Intern Med 2008;23:1933–1939.

Study objectives

- To investigate the <u>quality of DM care</u> for DM alone compared to DM with comorbid conditions in Ontario:
 - HbA1c testing, LDL-C testing, eye exam;
 - Composite of the 3 measures.
- To examine the association between the <u>quality of DM care</u> and presence of different types of comorbidities:
 - Vascular, non-vascular and both types of comorbidities.
- To test whether the association between the quality of DM care and comorbidity patterns is modified by continuity of care.



Study methods

Design

• Population-based cross-sectional study

Source of data - Administrative & Clinical databases at ICES:

- Ontario Diabetes Database (ODD);
- Ontario Health Insurance Plan claims database (OHIP);
- Registered Persons Database (RPDB);
- Ontario Drug Benefits claims database (ODB);
- Discharge Abstract Database (CIHI DAD);
- Client Agency Program Enrolment (CAPE) table.



Study methods (cont.)

Study population

- All eligible Ontarians with DM type I and II, alive on April 1, 2007;
- Aged 18 or older;
- Diagnosed 2 years prior to the index date:
 - at least 2 outpatient, or
 - at least 1 inpatient diagnosis code.
- Registered with OHIP.



Study methods - Measures

Outcome variables - comprehensive diabetes care measures 6,7

- <u>HbA1c testing</u>: DM patients who received at least 4 HbA1c tests in in the period 2007-2009.
- <u>LDL-C testing</u>: DM patients who received at least 2 LDL-C tests in the period 2007-2009.
- <u>Eye exam</u>: DM patients who received at least one dilated eye exam by an eye care professional in the period 2007-2009.
- <u>The composite measure</u> called "diabetes care quality" is identified as receipt of all 3 measures in the period 2007-2009.



6. Blumer I. Canadian Diabetes Association 2008. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada: executive summary. 2008.

7. Canadian Diabetes Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee. Canadian Diabetes Association 2003 clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada. Can J Diabetes 2003;27(Supple.2):S22,S60,S77.

Study methods - Measures

Independent variables: Piette and Kerr's Framework 8

- <u>Vascular</u> CC cardiovascular conditions and stroke;
- <u>Non-vascular</u> CC– musculoskeletal, respiratory and mental conditions, renal failure and cancer;
- <u>Both types of CC.</u>
- Continuity of care (COC) index: ≤ 0.75 or > 0.75.¹⁰
- **Other variables:** age, sex, primary care models, duration of diabetes, rurality index, income quintile.



Piette JD, Kerr EA. The impact of comorbid chronic conditions on diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2006;29(3).
Bice TW, Boxerman SB. A quantitative measure of continuity of care. Med Care 1977;15(4):347-9.

Analytical approach

- Descriptive statistics was performed to examine the % of receipt of guideline-recommended diabetes measures for DM alone vs. DM with CC;
- Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the association between receipt of diabetes measures and different types of CC;
- Interaction terms were created to test whether the association between the quality of DM care and comorbidity types is modified by continuity of care.



Results: Distribution of DM patients, by number and types of CC

• 861,354 Ontarians with diabetes were included in our study, from 2007 to 2009.



- DM only
- DM+vascular CC
- DM+non-vascular CC
- DM+both types CC



Results: Quality of diabetes care among people with DM alone vs. with selected CC





Results: Association between the quality of DM care and types of CC

| Parameter | HbA1c testing AOR* (95% CI) | LDL-C testing AOR* (95% CI) | Eye exam AOR* (95% CI) | Composite measure AOR* (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| DM with no CC | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| DM with vascular CC | 1.67 (1.65, 1.71) | 1.98 (1.95, 2.01) | 1.44 (1.42, 1.47) | 1.64 (1.61, 1.68) |
| DM with non-vascular CC | 1.15 (1.13, 1.17) | 1.33 (1.31, 1.35) | 1.28 (1.26, 1.30) | 1.18(1.16, 1.21) |
| DM with both types of CC | 1.84 (1.81, 1.86) | 2.05 (2.02, 2.08) | 1.92 (1.89, 1.95) | 1.80(1.77, 1.83) |
| COC index ≤ 0.75 | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| COC index > 0.75 | 1.38 (1.37, 1.39) | 1.34 (1.33, 1.35) | 1.12 (1.10, 1.13) | 1.24(1.23, 1.25) |

*Adjusted for age, sex, primary care models, duration of diabetes, rurality index, income quintile.



Results: Continuity of care (COC) as an effect modifier on diabetes care quality

Outcome is Composite Measure

| Parameter | AOR* (95% CI) for Parameter with COC ≤ 0.75 | AOR* (95% CI) for Parameter with COC > 0.75 |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| DM only | Ref. | 1.77 (1.71, 1.82) |
| DM with vascular CC | 1.86 (1.81, 1.91) | 2.49 (2.43, 2.56) |
| DM with non-vascular CC | 1.34 (1.30, 1.37) | 1.81 (1.76, 1.87) |
| DM with both types of CC | 2.23 (2.18, 2.29) | 2.47 (2.41, 2.53) |

*Adjusted for age, sex, primary care models, duration of diabetes, rurality index, income quintile.



Strengths

Limitations

- Representative sample of people with diabetes in Ontario;
- Administrative database has been validated and used in many studies;
- Using valid and reliable measures of comprehensive diabetes care.

- Our study was limited to measures available in administrative data;
- Selected CC may not reflect all existing comorbidities in diabetes patients;
- Lack of accuracy of some diagnostic codes.



Summary

- Overall quality of diabetes care in Ontario was low.
- Presence of CC in DM patients was associated with superior DM care, regardless of comorbidity type:
 - As compared to DM patients without CC, patients with both vascular and non-vascular CC were significantly more likely to meet guidelinerecommended diabetes care measures.
 - The presence of both types of CC in DM patients was associated with highest odds of meeting DM care measures compared with those with no comorbidity.
- Concentration of care among all providers seen was strongly associated with better DM care, regardless of comorbidity type.



Thank you!

Questions?

