Population Segmentation for Population Health Management in Ontario Health Teams HSPN Monthly OHT Webinar January 25, 2022

Welcome & thank you for joining us!

Please let us know who you are by introducing yourself (name & OHT or other org)

Accessing the Chat in a Webinar from a Mobile Device

1. While in a meeting, tap the screen to make the screen to make the controls appear.



set response to <u>all (panelists and) attendees</u> in the chat box



Land acknowledgement

We wish to acknowledge this land on which the University of Toronto operates. For thousands of years it has been the traditional land of the Huron-Wendat, the Seneca, and the Mississaugas of the Credit. Today, this meeting place is still the home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island and we are grateful to have the opportunity to work on this land.



Poll

First time ?

Poll ended | 1 question | 182 of 226 (80%) participated

1. Have you joined us for an HSPN webinar previously? (Single Choice)

182/182 (100%) answered

Yes (136/182) 75% No, this is my first event (46/182) 25%



Today's event Segmentation for Population Health Management





Walter Wodchis Co-Lead OHT Evaluation HSPN



Christina Clarke RISE Population Health Coach

HSPN

Agenda

- 1. Approach to Population Health Management (PHM)
- 2. Segmenting OHT attributable populations
- 3. Looking at cQIP measures by population segment
- 4. Examples to connect segmentation to care model co-design and quality improvement

What we're trying to do

Improving Value Means Increasing Population Health and Equity

• •

COMMENTARY

Walter P. Wodchis, PaD Professor, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation Toronto, ON Research Chair in Implementation and Evaluation Science Institute for Better Health, Trillium Health Partners Mississauga, ON

> Robert J. Reid, MD, MPH, PaD Chief Scientist Institute for Better Health Trillium Health Partners Mississauga, ON

> > Ø

ABSTRACT The purpose of this commentary is to outline a vision for the future of value-based balthare in provinese across Canada and offer a frvo suggestions for the requirements to make substantial gains in value, based on learnings from past initiatives. We declare as our premise that improving value in bealthcare means to improve population bealth. The goal of improving population bealth means to improve both average quality of life and life expectancy and to reduce inequalities in these bealth outcomes. That is, to "shift and squeeze" the population health distribution, as Dr. Patricia Martens phrased it in the Emmett Hall lecture at the Canadian Health Services and Policy Research onference in 2014.

10

Background

What does improved value and improved population health look like? Let us make the comparisons with other healthcare systems, starting with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) as a benchmark for what has been achieved at this time on this planet.



7





Health System Performance Network

How to Approach Population Health Management



MONITOR & EVALUATE (Quadruple Aim)

> complete TESTS OF CHANGE **ADAPT** based on learnings and as population changes

IMPLEMENTATION & REACH

CO-DESIGNING PERSON-CENTRED CARE MODELS & SERVICE MIX

SEGMENTATION

& BARRIERS

Source: Adapted from Population Health Alliance, 2012

HIGH LEVEL OVERVIEW OF EACH COMPONENT

- **Population identification** (start here)
 - This will need to be done on an on-going basis as your population changes and can include two levels of identification:
 - 1) Understanding your attributed population (MoH data)
 - Identifying a priority population with which to start/to 2) prioritize next (HSPN reports)

Segmentation for needs, risks & barriers

- Segmenting your attributed population into priority populations 0
- Segmenting your priority populations 0
- Co-designing person-centred care models & service mix
- **Implementation & reach**
- Monitor & evaluate
 - Using a quadruple aim approach

HSPN used "Spider Diagrams" to report on overall OHT Attributable Population indicators

Indicator Reports

"Try to be SMALL"

... on target is better







Opportunities for Improvement HSPN and cQIP Indicators

Overall OHT Indicators (Hospital-based)

- Days in acute inpatient care
- ALC days
- ACSC hospitalizations
- ED visits best managed elsewhere

Mental Health & Addictions Care

- Outpatient visits within 7d of MHA hospital discharge
- ED as first point of contact for MHA
- Frequent (4+) ED visits for MHA
- Repeat ED visits within 30d for MHA
- Rate of ED visits for deliberate self-harm

Cancer Screening

- Mammography
- Pap Screening
- Colorectal

HSPN 🛞

Red indicates cQIP measure for OHTs in 2022/23.

Oll Using OHT cQIP data

Poll ended | 1 question | 109 of 279 (39%) participated

1. How have you used your cQIP data shared through Ontario Health Platform ? (check all that apply) (Multiple Choice) *

109/109 (100%) answered

We have not yet reviewed our cQIP data in OHT mee... (28/109) 26%

Our project	management	office/backbone team have	(36/109)	33%

Our performance committee has discussed our data (39/109) 36%

Our leadership group has discussed our data (26/109) 24%

We are starting to develop plans for the cQIP (44/109) 40%

We have drafted plans to improve on cQIP indicators (7/109) 6%



Poll

Which indicators have you advanced furthest for collaborative

Poll ended | 1 question | 103 of 285 (36%) participated

1. Which indicators have you advanced furthest for collaborative Quality Improvement Plans? (check all that apply) (Multiple Choice) *

103/103 (100%) answered

Alternate Level of Care (ALC)	(24/103) 23%
Patients presenting in Emergency Department with first diagnosis of Mental Health or A	(22/103) 21%
Breast Cancer (Mammography) Screening	(16/103) 16%
Cervical Cancer (Pap) Screening	(17/103) 17%
Colorectal Screening	(14/103) 14%
We are just beginning to look at the data and plan our goals	(58/103) 56%



For any of the cQIP measures, have you thought about different sub-populations that you want to focus on for improvement? What are your ideas?

Respond in the chat



Prior HSPN White Papers on Population Health Management



https://hspn.ca/evaluation/oht/related/

white-papers-on-population-health-management-and-population-segmentation/



Key Recommendations

- Ontario is rich with linked population clinical administrative and claims data.
- OHTs should consider both data-driven risk stratification approach and clinical/heuristic population segmentation approach to assess the relative merits of each and the potential for transition to a needs-based approach to care.
- Ensure segmentation can support the next stage in Population Health Management

 \rightarrow Co-Designing Person-centred care models and service mix



Think about your **opportunities for improvement**

Now let's take it down a level.

- Move from entire OHT attributable populations to subpopulations. Use population-segmentation to identify patient populations with (crudely) similar health and social care needs.
- Today, we use the British Columbia Health System Matrix as our <u>example</u> for how to undertake and use population segmentation (you could use other approaches e.g. CIHI).



Population Segmentation



September 2021 HSPN Webinar



hspn.ca/evaluation/oht/webinars/

HSPN 🛞



OHT Long Term Goal: Integrating Care for Full Attributed Population

Population Segmentation Using the British Columbia Health System Matrix

- Clinically driven
- Focused on predicting care service needs
- Based on the Bridges to Health Model

Using Population Segmentation to Provide Better Health Care for All: The "Bridges to Health" Model

JOANNE LYNN, BARRY M. STRAUBE, KAREN M. BELL, STEPHEN F. JENCKS, and ROBERT T. KAMBIC

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The model discussed in this article divides the population into eight groups: people in good health, in maternal/infant situations, with an acute illness, with stable chronic conditions, with a serious but stable disability, with failing health near death, with advanced organ system failure, and with long-term frailty. Each group has its own definitions of optimal health and its own priorities among services. Interpreting these population-focused priorities in the context of the Institute of Medicine's six goals for quality yields a framework that could shape planning for resources, care arrangements, and service delivery, thus ensuring that each person's health needs can be met effectively and efficiently. Since this framework would guide each population segment across the institute's "Quality Chasm," it is called the "Bridges to Health" model.

Keywords: Health care reform, community health planning, health services needs and demand, person-focused health.

C ROSSING THE QUALITY CHASM (IOM 2001A) ENVISIONED AN approach to health that focuses on the individual person or patient and met six specific aims for care: it must be safe, effective, efficient, patient centered (i.e., meets the patient's desires and preferences within the care delivery environment), timely, and equitable.

Address correspondence to: Joanne Lynn, Office of Clinical Standards and Quality, CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21244-1850 (email: Joanne.lynn@cms.hhs.gov).

The Milbank Quarterly, Vol. 85, No. 2, 2007 (pp. 185–208) No claim to original U.S. government works. © 2007 Milbank Memorial Fund. Published by Blackwell Publishing.

185



BC's Population Segmentation: 14 Health Status Groups

Broad Category	Population Segment	representing 'highest' need for care in year	
	End of Life	In a palliative care or end of life program	Highest
	Frail in Residential Care	Living in Licenced residential care	health
Towards the End	Frail with High Complex	High chronic conditions with supports for	care
of Life	Chronic Conditions	activities of daily living	needs
	Frail living in the community	With supports for activities of daily living,	
		without high chronic conditions	
	High Complex Chronic	High chronic conditions, without supports for	
	Conditions, not Frail	activities of daily living	
	Capacr	Population with cancer diagnosis and	
Living with	Calicer	treatment	
Illness and	Severe Mental Illness and	Hespitalized for MH or SLL in 5 year period	
Chronic	Substance Use	Trospitalized for Mill of SO III 5 year period	
Conditions	Medium Complex Chronic	Specific Medium Chronic Conditions or	
	Conditions	comorbidities	
	Low Complex Chronic	Spacific Low Chronic Conditions	
	Conditions	Specific Low Chronic Conditions	
	Children and Youth Major	Significant time-limited health needs, without	
Getting Better	Conditions	chronic conditions. Includes Newborns with	
	Adults Major Conditions	health conditions	Lowest
		Healthy, low users, with minor episodic	health
	Healthy	health care needs	care
Staying Healthy	Maternity and Healthy		needs
	Newborns	Maternity, Obstetrics and newborns	
	Non-users	People who used no health care in year	
Health System Matrix 6.1, B	C Ministry of Health 2015	RITISH	ı
	CO	LUMBIA	



20





Health System Performance Network

Ontario: Cost, Mortality and Population Sizes of Population Groups/Segments Using BC Health System Matrix



Source: Adapted from Kaiser Permanente

All data for 2020/21 based on 2019 Attributed Population 21 \$PMPM = Provincial attributed government cost per member per month Premature mortality per 100 000 population

Think about your **opportunities for improvement**

The next slides focus on OHT measures for cQIP indicators:

- We report on 5 cQIP indicators (ALC, MH first, 3x cancer screening)
- HSPN will send reports to OHTs on cQIP indicators reported according to BC Health System Matrix groups and CIHI Pop Grouper. Today we review results based on BC HSM.
- Different indicators are prominent in different groups identifying the need for both in-reach (amongst known contacts of health system) and out-reach (to individuals with little health system contact) in order to improve results.



2020/21 ALC Days Rate (per 100 acute days) in acute hospitals across all Ontario OHTs by BC Matrix Segment



cQIP ALC indicator is reported showing the total number of patient days in the bars:

- blue bars represent • number of non-ALC days (x-axis/horizontal scale);
 - green indicate number of ALC days;
- percentage to the right is the proportion of acute inpatient days that are ALC

*Proportion of inpatient days designated as ALC is shown at end of bar. *Data are suppressed for segments with small counts.







Rate of mental-health related ED visits in 2020/21 (per 100 population) where the ED was the first point of contact with a health provider across all Ontario OHTs by BC Matrix Segment



*Rate of segment with ED as first point of contact for MHA is shown at end of bar. *Data are suppressed for segments with small counts. *Overall rate per 100 in OHTAM=32.3.

HSPN 🛞

cQIP MHA indicator is reported showing the total number of individuals with MHA-related ED visits in the bars:

- blue bar represents number of individuals where the MHArelated ED visit was not the first point of contact for MHA (x-axis);
- green indicates number where the MHA-ED visit was the first point of contact;
- percentage to the right is rate of MHA-related ED visits where the ED was the first point of contact



Number of women (52-69 yrs of age) across all Ontario OHTs not up-to-date with a screening Mammogram as at March 31, 2021 by BC Matrix Segment



cQIP cancer screening indicators are reported showing the total number of individuals in the bars:

- blue bar represents number of individuals screened (xaxis);
- green indicates the number not screened;
- percentage to the right is the breast cancer screening rate





Number of women (23-69 yrs of age) across all Ontario OHTs not up-to-date with a screening Pap Smear as at March 31, 2021 by BC Matrix Segment



cQIP cancer screening indicators are reported showing the total number of individuals in the bars:

- blue represent number of individuals screened;
- green indicate number not screened;
- percentage to the right is cervical cancer screening rate





Number of adults 52-74 yrs of age across all Ontario OHTs not up-to-date with a Colorectal Cancer screening as at March 31, 2021 by BC Matrix Segment



cQIP cancer screening indicators are reported showing the total number of individuals in the bars:

- blue bars represent number of individuals screened (x-axis);
- green indicate number not screened;
- percentage to the right is cervical cancer screening rate





Implications

- ALC strategies must consider multiple populations including frail seniors in the community, those in Long Term Care and those who have palliative care needs at the end of life.
- Strategies to identify individuals with Mental Health and Addictions must consider those who tend to use relatively little health care services but also some who have Major Acute encounters in the health care system.
- Cancer screening strategies must pay particular attention to those with little to no contact with the health care system.



Sub-population segmentation: Think about equity

The next slide shows how OHT cQIP measures of cancer screening are related to Material Deprivation across population segments:

 In most population segments we see a notable gradient where those who live in the most deprived neighbourhoods have the lowest level of cancer screening and the screening rates go up as neighborhood deprivation decreases.

E.g. for Low Chronic Conditions Colorectal screening rates increase from 63% to 74%

• We use the Deprivation Score from the Ontario Marginalization Index.



Percent of adults 52-74 yrs of age across all Ontario OHTs not up-to-date with a Colorectal Cancer screening as at March 31, 2021 by BC Matrix Segment and Material Deprivation Quintile





Sub-population: Think about primary care models

The next slides focus on your how OHT cQIP measures of cancer screening are related to (payment) models of primary care.

- In most population segments we see a notable gradient where those who are attached to Family Health Teams (FHTs) have higher rates of cancer screening than those in other capitation models which are higher than in blended payment or non-enrolled patients.
- E.g. for Mental Health/Substance Abuse segment, Breast cancer screening with Mammogram decreases from 69% in FHT to 31% among those rostered with Comprehensive Care Model only or not rostered to a primary care physician



Percent of women (52-69 yrs of age) who are up-to-date with a screening Mammogram as at March 31, 2021 by BC Matrix Segment and Physician Enrolment Model



HSPN 🛞

CCM – Comprehensive Care Model FHG – Family Health Group CAP (FHO/FHN) – Family Health Organization/Family Health Network FHT – Family Health Team

Implications

- Both in-reach and out-reach strategies must consider the barriers to access experienced by individuals living in geographies with high levels of deprivation.
- Strategies to reach patients in primary care practices that do not have rostered patients or are primarily Fee for Service with Comprehensive Care Model rostering are important. These practices have lower rates of screening and effective interventions have greater opportunity to increase overall OHT screening rates.



Share your thinking and questions about segmentation and how it applies to cQIP indicators. What are your ideas to address the cQIP indicators ?

Respond in the chat



Think about your **opportunities for improvement**

 Use examples from Ontario Health - Quality 'change ideas' to identify improvement opportunities for Alternate Level of Care (ALC), Mental Health and Addictions/Substance Abuse (MHA), Cancer screening.

Resources available through Ontario Health's OHT cQIP Community of Practice for 'change ideas'



Summary

- Population segmentation into different 'types' of health care needs offers more refined information on which individuals require additional intervention to improve on cQIP (and other) indicators.
- Sub-population segmentation starts to drive more specifically at the different challenges faced by patients including socioeconomic challenges and the advantages of attachment to interprofessional teams.







Examples to connect segmentation to care model codesign and quality improvement

Christina Clarke, BSc, MHA RISE Population Health Management Coach cclarke@ideategroup.ca January 25th 2022







Segmentation for population health management

- A process of understanding why the health of groups is not optimal
- Involves using data and knowledge to understand how systems, processes, medical care, and patient factors influence an outcome

The process of segmentation helps us...

- Challenges assumptions and act on data and knowledge
- Support planning to better match finite resources to needs
- Identify improvement opportunities
- Understand and account for variation in populations (e.g., who is not accounted for?)





"We're lost, but we're making good time." — Yogi Berra

 \bigcirc

Principles for segmentation

- Prioritize learning (about your population)
- Look for leverage points
- Start small, learn and grow
- Don't let perfect be the enemy of good enough
- Steal and share repeat
- Segmentation is a process, not an outcome or end-point

There's no single right way to do population segmentation

- Consider scope (whole population, sub-population)
- Consider what data you have available now?
- Segment based on what you already know
- Low tech (excel, care team review) or high tech (e.g., CIHI pop health grouper, Johns Hopkins ACG system, etc.)?

Example 1:

Focusing on the frail older adult population (65+)





Fishbone diagram (root cause analysis)

PATIENT ISSUES SYSTEM ISSUES No frailty case finding/documentation • Health literacy issues • Transportation issues No team-based care • No regular primary care provider • Limited caregiver support • Limited community supports • Limited resources for healthy food options Frailty not • Episodic care • Does not have a usual care provider identified & managed before crisis • Limited knowledge of frailty No pre-visit planning and care/management coordination No focus on self-• Nowhere to record frailty in EMR/no frailty template Provider discomfort talking management support about healthy aging/frailty · No process in place for frailty case finding, management **MEDICAL MANAGEMENT/PROVIDER ISSUES PROCESS ISSUES**

What are your next steps?		
Poll ended 1 question 84 of 230 (36%) participated		
1. What are your next steps? (Multiple Choice) *		
84/84 (100%) answered		7
Prioritize root causes	(56/84) 67%	
Begin brainstorming solutions	(31/84) 37%	101
Implement a best-practice program	(10/84) 12%	150
Something else – please share in chat	(5/84) 6%	5

What tools or processes are you using for your	
segmentation work	
Poll ended 1 question 52 of 223 (23%) participated	
 What tools or processes are you using for your segmentation work? (Multiple Choice) * 52/52 (100%) answered 	
Fishbone diagram (Ishikawa diagram)D	(22/52) 42%
Pareto analysis (80 / 20 rule)	(14/52) 27%
Process mapping	(41/52) 79%
Something else – please share in chat	(6/52) 12%

Example 2:

All people experiencing moderate depression at clinic



Moderate depression, not responding to treatment



Please note, this is for discussion and illustration purposes only

Example 2:

Segmentation by race to look for gaps









Up Next:

HSPN Webinar Series

4th Tuesday of the Month: 12:00 – 1:30pm

Upcoming Topics:

- Series in Population Health Management
 - Segmentation: Examples in OHTs
 - Understanding chronic disease management (e.g. diabetes)
- Series in Learnings from OHT Development
 - o Early learnings from OHTs in Developmental Evaluation
 - Organizing for Ontario Health Teams survey 2.0



Everyone is involved !

Twitter: @infohspn Email: <u>OHT.Evaluation@utoronto.ca</u> <u>https://hspn.ca/evaluation/ontario-health-teams</u>

Thank you!

